

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/673,952	09/29/2003	Jeffery D. Baird	P-123837	3782
75	90 05/11/2005		EXAM	INER
Thomas E. Sis	son	NEUDER, WILLIAM P		
JACKSON WA	LKER L.L.P.			
Suite 2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
112 E. Pecan Street			3672	
San Antonio, T	X 78205		DATE MAIL ED. 05/11/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/673,952	BAIRD, JEFFERY D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William P Neuder	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than the period by th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	nis action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	÷					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdensity is/are allowed.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1.4 and 5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 is directed to the figure 2 embodiment. Claim 1 calls for the control member to be beneath the top surface of the slip. In the embodiment of claim 5, the control member is not below the top surface of the slip. Clarification is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albright et al in view of Hooper.

Page 3

Albright discloses a method for controlling the ascent and descent of a tubular. Control member 112 is connected to pipe 122 to raise and lower the pipe. An elevator is also connected to the pipe. See column 5, lines 5-10. While Albright does not disclose where the elevator engages pipe 122 with respect to where the control member affixes to the pipe, it would have been considered an obvious design choice to attach the control member below the elevator since the device will operate in the same manner weather the device is attached to the top or bottom of member 122. Hooper teaches that elevators have slips and that it would have been obvious that the elevator used in Albright would have been of the type having slips as taught by Hooper. As to claim 4, the elevator used in Albright is considered a slip spider.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P Neuder whose telephone number is 571-272-7032. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> will a William P Neuder **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3672

W.P.N.